



vernondickason.com

*Welcome to a space for thoughtful exploration -
Where ideas grow, stories take shape,
and real change becomes possible.*

*This is where education, leadership, and big-picture
thinking come together, to help people grow and
make institutions stronger from the inside out.*

Site:
vernondickason.com

Email:
connect@vernondickason.com

Address:
Cape Town, South Africa

What if school admissions were determined by community councils? Gatekeepers or Guardians? Rethinking Access Through Local Eyes

Author: Vernon Dickason

Opening Reflection

Admissions are not just administrative, they are ethical. They determine who enters, who belongs, and who is left behind. In South Africa, where schooling is often the first site of social inclusion or exclusion, the process of admitting learners carries immense weight. What if, by 2030, school admissions were no longer managed by provincial departments or school principals alone, but by community councils made up of parents, educators, and local leaders? Would this deepen democracy, or complicate equity?

The Scenario

By 2030, the BELA Act has been amended to allow Community Education Councils (CECs) to oversee school admissions in their catchment areas. These councils include:

- Parents and caregivers elected from local wards
- Educators and principals from neighbouring schools
- Community leaders, including faith-based and civic representatives
- Youth delegates from local learner forums

CECs review applications, prioritise vulnerable learners, and mediate disputes. They are empowered to interpret zoning flexibly, consider social factors, and ensure transparency. However, disparities emerge: some councils operate with integrity and care, while others face accusations of bias, favouritism or gatekeeping. Provincial oversight is minimal, and legal challenges begin to surface.

What It Reveals

This scenario reveals the tension between local agency and procedural fairness. Community councils may bring contextual wisdom, but also subjective judgement.

It also exposes the fragility of access when governance is decentralised without robust safeguards.

From a systems-thinking lens, admissions must balance relational insight with regulatory clarity. Community involvement must be structured, accountable and inclusive.

Why It Matters

Admissions shape opportunity. When managed well, they reflect the values of a community, welcoming, fair, and responsive. When mismanaged, they become sites of exclusion and mistrust. The question is not whether communities should have a voice, but how that voice is held in trust.

Philosophically, this scenario touches on subsidiarity, relational justice and constitutional stewardship. It asks us to reimagine access not as a gate, but as a bridge.

Reflective Responses

What must community councils include to govern admissions fairly?

Clear guidelines, training in equity and ethics, transparent processes, and appeal mechanisms. Participation must be principled, not politicised.

How do we prevent bias or exclusion in local admissions?

By rotating membership, publishing decisions, and embedding oversight. By ensuring that councils reflect the diversity of the communities they serve.

What risks emerge if admissions are fully localised?

Inconsistency, discrimination, and erosion of national standards. Without scaffolding, local governance may reproduce local inequalities.

Sidebar: Facts and Philosophy

Insights from South African Policy and Governance (2025):

- The BELA Act empowers provincial HoDs to coordinate admissions with SGBs, but does not yet formalise community councils
- The Schooling 2030 Action Plan calls for stronger community engagement, but warns against fragmentation
- The WCED Strategic Plan (2025–2030) prioritises social cohesion through multi-stakeholder collaboration

“Local governance must be rooted in justice, not just geography. Communities must be empowered to include, not to exclude.” - <i>Prof. Mary Metcalfe</i>
